

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/802,900	0	3/12/2001	Kazuhiro Kosuge	6617 EXAMINER		
30132	7590	08/19/2004				
GEORGE A			OMGBA, ESSAMA			
3137 MOUN ALEXANDE	_	ON AVENUE 22305		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,			3726	-	
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 09/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/802,900	KOSUGE ET AL.						
•	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Essama Omgba	3726						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss					
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	•					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approporing the state of the final Of	riate extension fice action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.						
***************************************	ion/s): 103 rejection of claim 7							
 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 103 rejection of claim 7. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment 								
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were i	newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: <u>13-15</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-5, 7, 10-12 and 18-25</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 8 and 9. For claim 1, Hall et al. in</u> and further in view of Yokomachi et al.	n view of Buck and Antoni and for o	laims 8 and 9, Hall et a	I./Buck/Antoni					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer								
 10 Other:								
	50ml (M.						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 20040816